Non-dipolar Wilson links for quasi-parton distribution functions

Hsiang-nan Li[1]

[1]*Institute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan 115, Republic of China*

(Dated: August 8, 2016)

We propose a modified definition for a quasi-parton distribution function (QPDF) with an equal-time correlator in the large momentum limit, whose two pieces of space-like Wilson links are oriented in orthogonal directions. It is explicitly shown at one-loop level that the linear divergence in the original QPDF with dipolar Wilson links, which complicates its matching to the standard light-cone parton distribution function (LPDF), is removed. The LPDF can then be extracted reliably from Euclidean lattice data for the QPDF with the non-dipolar Wilson links.

PACS numbers: 12.38.-t, 12.38.Bx, 12.38.Gc, 14.20.Dh

arXiv:1602.07575v2 [hep-ph] 5 Aug 2016

A quasi-parton distribution function (QPDF), which involves an equal-time correlator in the large momentum limit with the Wilson links in the direction $n=(0,0,0,1)$, has been proposed recently [1],

$$\tilde{q}_n(x,\mu,P^z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dz}{4\pi}e^{izk^z}\langle P|\psi(w)W_n^\dagger(w)\gamma^z W_n(0)\psi(0)|P\rangle. \tag{1}$$

In the above expression γ^z is a Dirac matrix, ψ is the quark field, $w=(0,0,0,z)$ is a coordinate, $|P\rangle$ is the nucleon state with the momentum $P=(P^0,0,0,P^z)$, $x=k^z/P^z$ is the momentum fraction of the quark, the large scale μ represents a ultraviolet cutoff for loop momenta, the gauge link is given by

$$W_n(w)=P\exp\left[-ig\int_0^\infty d\lambda\, T^a\, n\cdot A^a(\lambda n+w)\right], \tag{2}$$

with a color matrix T^a, and the average over the nucleon spin is implicit. The definition in Eq. (1) was motivated by a direct evaluation of a parton density on a Euclidean lattice through Lorentz boost. Note that the standard light-cone parton distribution function (LPDF), based on a time-dependent correlation with the two quark fields being located on a light cone, cannot be directly computed. Instead, one has to work on moments of the LPDF, which are matrix elements of local operators from the expansion of the nonlocal correlator. However, it has been known that the analysis becomes technically challenging for higher moments [2].

It has been shown that the QPDF contains the same collinear logarithmic divergence as in the LPDF to all orders in the strong coupling constant α_s [3]. In principle, the LPDF q is extracted from Euclidean lattice data for $\tilde{q}_n$ [4, 5] via the matching formula

$$\tilde{q}_n(x,\mu,P^z)=\int\frac{dy}{y}Z\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P^z}\right)q(y,\mu), \tag{3}$$

where Z is an infrared finite kernel. The strategy of deriving a LPDF from lattice data for a correlator of two operators with a space-like separation can be traced back to [6–8]. Their idea is to calculate a lattice "pion transition form factor" with the two electromagnetic currents being separated by a space-like distance, from which the pion light-cone distribution amplitude is extracted. The proposal of [3] to obtain the LPDF from lattice "cross sections" is similar, in which the QPDF is regarded as a special case of lattice "cross sections". Though a direct evaluation of a parton density becomes feasible, Eq. (1) suffers a linear power divergence proportional to μ/P^z from radiative gluons attaching to the Wilson links. Whether the QPDF can be factorized into the convolution in Eq. (3) at higher-order accuracy for Z in the presence of the linear divergence is not certain [3]. We will demonstrate that Eq. (3) breaks down at two-loop level actually due to an additional collinear divergence induced by the linear divergence. This additional collinear divergence, going into Z after the matching, fails perturbative expansion for Z, and leads to uncontrollable theoretical uncertainty in the extraction. It should be mentioned that the difference between the QPDF and the LPDF for light quarks has been investigated in the framework of the spectator diquark model, and found to be about 20-30% for P^z around few GeV [9].

It is interesting to notice that a linear pinched singularity appears in a transverse-momentum-dependent (TMD) parton density with dipolar Wilson links off the light cone [10]. Actually, the diagrams contributing to the linear divergences in the QPDF and in a TMD parton density, i.e., those from real gluon exchange between the two pieces of Wilson links, are identical. We have demonstrated [11] that the linear pinched singularity can be removed by introducing non-dipolar Wilson links, namely, Wilson links each of which is oriented in different directions, and that the collinear divergence to be factorized into a TMD parton density is maintained. The simplest version is the one



FIG. 1: One-loop real corrections to the quasi-parton distribution function.

with the two pieces of Wilson links being orthogonal to each other. Motivated by the above observation, we propose an improved definition for the quark QPDF without the linear divergence,

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P^z)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dz}{4\pi}e^{izk^z}\langle P|\psi(w)W_{n_2}^{\dagger}(w)\gamma^z W_{n_1}(0)\psi(0)|P\rangle, \tag{4}$$

where $n_1=(0,1,0,1)$ and $n_2=(0,-1,0,1)$ denote the directions of the two orthogonal pieces of non-dipolar Wilson links. A vertical link in the x-direction, which connects the two pieces of non-dipolar Wilson links at infinity, is understood. Such a link at infinity does not contribute in covariant gauge. A pair of non-dipolar Wilson links can be well approximated by zig-zag gauge links on lattice.

In this Letter we will show up to one-loop level that the modified QPDF in Eq. (4) does not develop the linear divergence, and exhibits the infrared behavior the same as of the LPDF. It will be explained that the factorization formula in Eq. (3) holds for the modified QPDF to all orders, but breaks down for the original QPDF in Eq. (1) at two loops, whose kernel Z gains infrared divergences at this level of accuracy. The modified QPDF is then matched to the LPDF with an infrared finite kernel Z calculable in a perturbation theory, so that the latter can be extracted reliably from lattice data for the former. Equation (4) yields the result the same as the quark LPDF at leading order,

$$\tilde{q}^{(0)}(x)=q^{(0)}(x)=\delta(1-x). \tag{5}$$

For next-to-leading-order calculation, we introduce a gluon mass m_g to regularize infrared divergences, and a cutoff μ to regularize ultraviolet divergences.

The real gluon exchange between the two quarks in Fig. 1(a) gives

$$\tilde{q}^{1a} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}C_F(1-x)\ln\frac{2(1-x)P^z[\Lambda-(1-x)P^z]}{|x|m_g^2}, & \text{for } x<1; \\ \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}C_F(1-x)\ln\frac{\Lambda-(1-x)P^z}{2(x-1)P^z}, & \text{for } x>1, \end{cases}, \tag{6}$$

$$q^{1a} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}C_F(1-x)\ln\frac{\mu^2}{|x|m_g^2}, & \text{for } x<1; \\ 0, & \text{for } x>1, \end{cases}, \tag{7}$$

for the modified QPDF and the LPDF, respectively, with the cutoff $\Lambda=\sqrt{\mu^2+[(1-x)P^z]^2}\approx\mu$. It is seen that the modified QPDF does not produce a collinear divergence denoted by $\ln m_g^2$ for $x>1$ as expected, since the LPDF does not either. For $x<0$, the quark lines in Fig. 1(a) can go on-shell [12], contributing collinear divergences which cancel those in Eqs. (6) and (7). That is, the modified QPDF and the LPDF develop the same collinear divergence only in the physical support region $0<x\le 1$ actually. Below we consider the QPDF result for $0<x<2$, namely, $-P^z<l^z<P^z$. It will be observed that the QPDF may contain a soft divergence from $x\to 1$ in the range $x>1$, which should be taken into account as determining the matching in the range $0<x\le 1$.

For the LPDF with the light-like Wilson links in the direction $n_-=(1,0,0,-1)$, Fig. 1(b) generates a logarithmic divergence,

$$\begin{aligned} q^{1b} &= g^2C_F\int_0^{\mu}\frac{d^2l_T}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{P^+(P^+-l^+)}{l^+[P^+l_T^2+(P^+-l^+)m_g^2]} \\ &= \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\frac{2x}{1-x}\ln\frac{\mu^2}{xm_g^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with the plus component $l^+ = (1-x)P^+$ and the transverse component $l_T = \sqrt{(l^x)^2 + (l^y)^2}$ of the loop momentum. The loop integral for the modified QPDF corresponding to Fig. 1(b) is written as

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}^{1b} &= -\frac{1}{4}g^2 C_F \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^3}\mathrm{tr}\left[\not{P}\gamma_\nu \frac{\not{P}-\not{l}}{(P-l)^2}\gamma^z\right]\frac{n_1^\nu}{n_1\cdot l}\delta(l^2-m_g^2)\delta(P^z-k^z-l^z) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g^2 C_F \int \frac{d^2l_T}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{P^z(2P^z-l^0-l^x-l^z)}{l^0(l^x+l^z)[2P^z(l^0-l^z)-m_g^2]},\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

with the components $l^0 = \sqrt{l_T^2+(l^z)^2+m_g^2}$ and $l^z = (1-x)P^z$. The rotation of the Wilson link off the z axis changes the eikonal propagator from $1/l^z$ to $1/(l^x+l^z)$. The scaling of the modified eikonal propagator $1/(l^x+l^z) \sim 1/l_T$ at large l_T then renders the integrand in Eq. (9) scale like $1/l_T^2$, similar to that in Eq. (8).

Working out the integration over l_T, we obtain

$$\tilde{q}^{1b} = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\left[\frac{2x}{1-x}\ln\frac{2(1-x)P^z\mu}{xm_g^2} - \frac{1+x}{1-x}\ln\frac{\mu}{(1-x)\sqrt{2}P^z} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right], & \text{for } 0<x<1; \\ \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\left[\ln\frac{\mu}{2(x-1)P^z} + \frac{1+x}{1-x}\ln\sqrt{2} + \frac{\pi}{4}\right], & \text{for } x>1. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

No collinear divergence, but a soft divergence is produced in the limit $x \to 1$ from the region of $x > 1$. It is confirmed that the LPDF and the modified QPDF from Fig. 1(b) involve the identical collinear logarithm $\ln m_g^2$ for $0 < x < 1$. The real vertex correction associated with another Wilson link in the direction of n_2 can be derived by flipping the sign of the component l^x in Eq. (9). Apparently, the result is equal to $\tilde{q}^{1b}$ in Eq. (10).

We explain the origin of the linear divergence in the original QPDF from Fig. 1(c), where the real gluon is exchanged between the two Wilson links in the z direction:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{q}_n^{1c} &= -\frac{1}{2}g^2 C_F \int \frac{d^2l_T}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{P^z}{l^0(l^z)^2}, \\ &= -\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}C_F\frac{\mu}{(1-x)^2P^z}.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

It is noticed that the denominator scales like $l^0 \sim l_T$, because the component l^z is specified to be $(1-x)P^z$. According to [13], the linear divergence comes only from Fig. 1(c) for the original QPDF. The above linear divergence has an origin similar to that of the known light-cone singularity [14] appearing in the naive definition of a TMD parton density. The latter arises from the region with a loop momentum parallel to a Wilson link along, say, n_-, namely, the region with $v\cdot l \gg n_-\cdot l$, where $v^\mu \equiv P^\mu/P^z$ represents the nucleon velocity. The former arises from the region with $v\cdot l \gg |n\cdot l|$, namely, $l^0 \gg l^z$.

As elaborated below, the linear divergence induces an additional collinear divergence at two-loop level from the region with loop momenta being perpendicular to the Wilson link, i.e., to the z direction. The contribution from this special region is usually of subleading power, but a subleading-power collinear divergence in the case of the original QPDF, due to the presence of the linear divergence, is not power-suppressed. The additional collinear divergence, characterized by $l^0 \sim l_T \gg l^z$, differs from the ordinary collinear divergence in LPDF, characterized by $l^0 \sim l^z \gg l_T$, and cannot be absorbed into the LPDF. That is, it renders the matching kernel Z infrared divergent, and breaks the factorization in Eq. (3), such that the LPDF cannot be extracted reliably from the lattice data of the original QPDF. With the additional collinear divergence, which does not exist in QCD diagrams for a considered process, the original QPDF is not compatible with a factorization theorem either: the corresponding hard kernel, defined as the difference between QCD diagrams and the original QPDF, then contains the additional collinear divergence, and is not calculable in a perturbation theory.

We will verify the above observation at two loops below by working in the light-cone gauge $n_-\cdot A = 0$, in which the original collinear divergence is killed, and any survived collinear divergence cannot be absorbed into the LPDF. Since we focus on the additional collinear divergence induced by the linear divergence, we need to consider only the diagram with the second gluon attaching to a quark line and to the gluon line in Fig. 1(c). The on-shellness of the two gluon propagators, which the first gluon momentum l_1 flows through, leads to the additional collinear divergence from $l_1^0 \sim l_{1T} \gg l_1^z$. The diagrams where the second gluon attaches to a quark line and a Wilson line do not generate a collinear divergence in the light-cone gauge. Those with the second gluon attaching to other one-loop diagrams do not either due to the absence of the linear divergence, i.e., to the power suppression on the region with $l_1^0 \sim l_{1T} \gg l_1^z$. Hence, it is impossible for the identified additional collinear divergence to be cancelled by other two-loop diagrams in the light-cone gauge.

Let the second gluon carry the momentum l_2, and label the vertex on the quark line after the final-state by μ, and the vertex on the Wilson link before (after) the final-state cut by ν (λ). The fermion trace for the considered

Feynman diagram is proportional to $tr[\not P\gamma^\mu(\not P+\not l_2)\gamma^+]\propto P^\mu(P^++l_2^+)+(P^\mu+l_2^\mu)P^+$, where the projector γ^z has been replaced by γ^+ because of $\not P=P^+\gamma^-$. The gluon propagator in the light-cone gauge satisfies

$$l_{2\mu}d^{\mu\mu'}(l_2) \;=\; l_{2\mu}\left(-g^{\mu\mu'}+\frac{n_-^\mu l_2^{\mu'}+n_-^{\mu'}l_2^\mu}{n_-\cdot l_2}\right)\to 0, \tag{12}$$

for an almost real gluon with $l_2^2\to 0$, so we evaluate only the contribution from P^μ, i.e., from v^μ. The two-loop diagram contains the partial integral

$$I \;=\; \int^\mu \frac{d^2l_{1T}}{l_1^0}\frac{\Gamma(l_1^0,l_1^z;l_2^0,l_2^z)}{(l_1+l_2)^2}, \tag{13}$$

where the factor

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma \;&=\; [(2l_1+l_2)^{\mu'}g^{\nu'\lambda'}+(l_2-l_1)^{\lambda'}g^{\mu'\nu'}-(l_1+2l_2)^{\nu'}g^{\mu'\lambda'}]d_{\mu\mu'}(l_2)d_{\nu\nu'}(l_1)d_{\lambda\lambda'}(l_1+l_2)v^\mu n^\nu n^\lambda\\ &=\; \frac{v\cdot(l_1+2l_2)n\cdot l_1}{n_-\cdot l_1}-\frac{v\cdot(2l_1+l_2)n\cdot l_2}{n_-\cdot l_2}+\frac{v\cdot(l_1-l_2)n\cdot(l_1+l_2)}{n_-\cdot(l_1+l_2)},\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

comes from the product of the triple-gluon vertex and the gluon propagators in the light-cone gauge, $n^2=-1$ has been inserted, and terms proportional to the small invariants l_1^2, l_2^2, and $l_1\cdot l_2$ have been dropped. The denominator $l_1^0=\sqrt{l_{1T}^2+(l_1^z)^2+m_g^2}$ is a result of the integration of $\delta(l_1^2-m_g^2)$ or $1/(l_1^2-m_g^2)$ over the loop component l_1^0. It suffices to regularize a collinear divergence by associating m_g^2 only with l_1^2.

For the second gluon moving in the perpendicular direction, we have a typical configuration with $l_2^0\sim l_{2T}\sim O(P^z)\gg l_2^z$. It is straightforward to extract the collinear divergence in Eq. (13),

$$I \;=\; \frac{\pi l_1^z l_2^2}{(l_2^z)^3}\ln\frac{l_1^z(l_2^0)^2m_g^2}{4\mu^2(l_2^z)^3}+\cdots, \tag{15}$$

where the companying linear divergence is not shown explicitly. The above collinear divergence occurs as $l_1^0\approx l_1^z l_2^0/l_2^z\gg l_1^z\sim O(P^z)$, namely, as the two gluons are collimated to each other in the perpendicular direction. The factor l_2^2 in Eq. (15) cancels the propagator of the second gluon, ensuring that the integration over l_2 is infrared finite as expected, and of order unity. That is, the additional collinear divergence from the special region is not power suppressed. Because $q^{(1)}$ and $q^{(2)}$ have been known from the LPDF, and $Z^{(1)}$ has been defined in the one-loop analysis, the divergence in Eq. (15) can only go into the convolution $Z^{(2)}\otimes q^{(0)}$, rendering Z infrared divergent at two loops. Therefore, the factorization formula in Eq. (3), which is supposed to define an infrared finite Z, breaks down at higher orders in α_s for the original QPDF. It is easy to confirm that the special region, without the linear divergence, is power suppressed in the other two-loop diagrams for the original QPDF and in the case of the modified QPDF .

The contribution from Fig. 1(c) vanishes for the LPDF, since its integrand is proportional to $n_-^2=0$. The linear divergence is removed by our choice of the orthogonal Wilson links with $n_1\cdot n_2=0$ for the modified QPDF, namely, $\tilde q^{1c}=0$. We stress that even if $n_1\cdot n_2\neq 0$, the rotation of the Wilson links away from the z direction will still suppress the linear divergence: the modified eikonal propagator, depending on l^x, scales like $1/l_T$ at large l_T. The corresponding integrand [referred to Eq. (11)] then scales like $1/l_T^3$, and the integral over l_T is convergent. The observation is that the boost direction of the nucleon should differ from the direction of the associated Wilson links in order to avoid the linear divergence. For instance, a nucleon is boosted in the plus direction, while the Wilson links run in the minus direction in the LPDF case.

The evaluation of the self-energy correction to a quark field in Fig. 2(a) is trivial, and the expression

$$\tilde q^{2a} \;=\; -\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}C_F\int_0^1 dyy\ln\frac{\mu^2}{ym_g^2}\delta(1-x), \tag{16}$$

is the same as for the LPDF and for the original QPDF.

The loop integration for the virtual quark-Wilson-link vertex correction in Fig. 2(b),

$$\tilde q^{2b} \;=\; -ig^2C_F\int\frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4}\frac{P^z(l^0+l^x+l^z+2P^z)\delta(P^z-k^z)}{(l^x+l^z-i\epsilon)(l^2+2P\cdot l+i\epsilon)(l^2-m_g^2+i\epsilon)}, \tag{17}$$



FIG. 2: One-loop virtual corrections to the quasi-parton distribution function.

is more difficult to carry out. We work in the light-cone coordinates, in which contributions from both $l^z > 0$ and $l^z < 0$ can be included simultaneously. For $-P^+ < l^+ < 0$ ($l^+ < -P^+$), we pick up the pole $l^- = (l_T^2 + m_g^2)/(2l^+) + i\epsilon$ ($l^- = l^+ + \sqrt{2}l^x - i\epsilon$) from the gluon (eikonal) propagator. Equation (17) gives

$$\tilde{q}^{2b} = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \int_0^1 dy \left\{ \frac{1+y}{1-y} \ln \frac{\mu}{(1-y)P^z} - \frac{2y}{1-y} \ln \frac{2(1-y)P^z\mu}{ym_g^2} + \frac{y}{1-y} \ln y - \frac{1}{1-y} \ln \frac{1+y}{2} + \ln[\sqrt{2}(1-y)] \right\} \delta(1-x), \tag{18}$$

where only the first line yields a soft divergence from $y \to 1$. For a similar reason, the virtual vertex correction associated with another Wilson link in the direction of n_2 is equal to $\tilde{q}^{2b}$ in Eq. (18).

Figure 2(b) leads to

$$q^{2b} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \int_0^1 dy \frac{2y}{1-y} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{ym_g^2} \delta(1-x), \tag{19}$$

for the LPDF. It is seen that the soft divergences from $x \to 1$ in q^{1b} and from $y \to 1$ in q^{2b} cancel each other as expected, after a plus function is introduced. Similar soft cancellation also takes place between $\tilde{q}^{1b}$ and $\tilde{q}^{2b}$, once the soft divergences in the former from both the ranges $0 < x < 1$ and $1 < x < 2$ are combined into a term proportional to $\delta(1-x)$ [16]. As a consequence, only the collinear divergences represented by the logarithm $\ln m_g^2$ remain in the sum of the real and virtual corrections.

At last, the self-energy correction to the Wilson link in Fig. 2(c) should be subtracted from the definition of a parton distribution function, because it is not involved in the Ward identity for the factorization of a parton distribution function [12]. As to an explicit gauge-invariant subtraction of the Wilson link self-energy corrections, one may, according to [12], simply introduce a denominator into the modified QPDF definition, which is a vacuum matrix element of three pieces of Wilson links: the first piece runs from the origin along the direction $n_1 = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ to infinity, the second piece is a vertical line in the x-direction located at infinity, and the third piece runs from infinity along $n_2 = (0, -1, 0, 1)$ back to the origin. These three pieces form a close loop, and produce the Wilson link self-energy corrections the same as in the modified QPDF, so this vacuum matrix element serves as a gauge-invariant subtraction. Since Fig. 2(c) does not contribute to the kernel Z, we will not present its explicit expression here. Note that the subtraction of the self-energy correction, if applied to the original QPDF, cannot restore the factorization formula in Eq. (3), because the two-loop diagram discussed before is not removed by the subtraction.

It is verified that the modified QPDF with the non-dipolar Wilson links exhibits the same infrared behavior as of the LPDF at one-loop level:

$$q^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \left[\frac{1+x^2}{(1-x)_+} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{xm_g^2} + \left(\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_g^2} + \frac{7}{4} - \frac{\pi^2}{3} \right) \delta(1-x) \right], \tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{q}^{(1)} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \left\{ \frac{1+x^2}{(1-x)_+} \ln \frac{2P^z\mu}{xm_g^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1+x}{(1-x)_+} \ln \frac{2(P^z)^2}{\mu^2} + (2+x+x^2) \left[\frac{\ln(1-x)}{1-x} \right]_+ - \frac{\pi}{4} + \left[\frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{\mu^2}{m_g^2} + 3 \ln \frac{2P^z}{\mu} - \frac{5}{4} - \frac{1}{2}(\ln^2 2 + \ln 2) - \frac{5}{12}\pi^2 \right] \delta(1-x) \right\}, \tag{21}$$

for $0 < x \leq 1$, despite of their different ultraviolet structures. We then derive the infrared finite kernel up to $O(\alpha_s)$, as matching Eq. (21) to Eq. (20),

$$Z\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{P^z}\right) = \left[1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \left(6 \ln \frac{\mu}{2P^z} + 6 + \ln^2 2 + \ln 2 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right)\right] \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \left\{2(2+\xi+\xi^2)\left[\frac{\ln(2P^z/\mu)}{(1-\xi)_+} + \left(\frac{\ln(1-\xi)}{1-\xi}\right)_+\right] - \frac{1+\xi}{(1-\xi)_+} \ln 2 - \frac{\pi}{2}\right\}, \tag{22}$$

for $0 < \xi \leq 1$, whose logarithm $\ln(\mu/P^z)$ can be organized to all orders by the standard renormalization-group method. Since we have also obtained the one-loop corrections to the modified QPDF in the region with $x > 1$, it is trivial to get the corresponding infrared finite kernel

$$Z\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{P^z}\right) = \frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi} C_F \left[2(\xi-2) \ln \frac{2(\xi-1)P^z}{\mu} - \frac{\xi+1}{(\xi-1)_+} \ln 2 + \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \tag{23}$$

for $\xi > 1$. The plus function in the above expression means that the soft divergence in the integral $\int_1^2 d\xi/(\xi-1)$ is subtracted, as the kernel is convoluted with other functions. Certainly, the matching must be recalculated up to a constant accuracy using the standard approach on lattice [17]. The contribution related to the vertical link in the x direction, located at finite z in this case, must be taken into account.

We postulate that the modified QPDF, which contains only the logarithmic collinear divergence, respects the factorization formula in Eq. (3) to all orders in α_s. The proof follows the procedures outlined in [15], including the eikonal approximation for collinear gluons, the Ward identity applied to the summation over all collinear gluon attachments, and the induction to extend the factorization of the LPDF from lower orders to higher orders. Hence, once the proof was done at one loop without the linear divergence in this Letter, the subleading-power collinear divergence is power suppressed and neglected at higher loops, and the factorization can be generalized to all orders straightforwardly. The detail will be presented in a forthcoming paper. It is then justified to extend the construction of Z from the modified QPDF to higher orders as argued in [3].

To summarize, our analysis has indicated that the regularization of the linear divergence cannot sustain the factorization property of the original QPDF at two loops. The modified QPDF with the non-dipolar Wilson links is free of the linear divergence, and generates the same collinear logarithm as in the LPDF at one-loop level, albeit with a distinct ultraviolet structure. The modified QPDF does not only facilitate a factorization theorem, but allows a reliable extraction of the LPDF from its Euclidean lattice data through perturbative matching. The non-dipolar Wilson links proposed in this paper can be applied to other nonperturbative objects, such as the gluon QPDF, polarized parton distribution functions [13], generalized parton distributions and TMD parton densities [16]. These subjects will be explored in future works.

Acknowledgments

We thank J.W. Chen, H.Y. Cheng, X. Ji, D. Lin, Y.Q. Ma, J.W. Qiu, Y.M. Wang, J.H. Zhang for illuminating discussions. This work was supported in part by the Ministry of Science and Technology of R.O.C. under Grant No. MOST-104-2112-M-001-037-MY3.

[1] X. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 262002 (2013).
[2] J. W. Negele, Nucl. Phys. **A711**, 281 (2002); P. Hagler *et al.* [LHPC Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **77**, 094502 (2008).
[3] Y. Q. Ma and J. W. Qiu, arXiv:1404.6860 [hep-ph]; Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser. **37**, 1560041 (2015).
[4] H. W. Lin, J. W. Chen, S. D. Cohen and X. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054510 (2015).
[5] C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, V. Drach, E. Garcia-Ramos, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, F. Steffens and C. Wiese, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 014502 (2015) .
[6] U. Aglietti, M. Ciuchini, G. Corbo, E. Franco, G. Martinelli and L. Silvestrini, Phys. Lett. B **441**, 371 (1998).
[7] A. Abada, P. Boucaud, G. Herdoiza, J. P. Leroy, J. Micheli, O. Pene and J. Rodriguez-Quintero, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 074511 (2001).
[8] V. Braun and D. Mueller, Eur. Phys. J. C **55**, 349 (2008).
[9] L. Gamberg, Z. B. Kang, I. Vitev and H. Xing, Phys. Lett. B **743**, 112 (2015).
[10] A. Bacchetta, D. Boer, M. Diehl and P. J. Mulders, JHEP **0808**, 023 (2008).
[11] H. n. Li and Y. M. Wang, JHEP **1506**, 013 (2015).

[12] J. Collins, *Foundations of Perturbative QCD* , Cambridge monographs on particle physics, nuclear physics and cosmology, 32.
[13] X. Xiong, X. Ji, J. H. Zhang, and Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 014051 (2014).
[14] J. C. Collins, Acta Phys. Polon. B **34**, 3103 (2003).
[15] H. n. Li, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 014019 (2001).
[16] X. Ji, P. Sun, X. Xiong, and F. Yuan, arXiv:1405.7640 [hep-ph].
[17] S. Capitani, Nucl. Phys. **B597**, 313 (2001); S. Capitani, Nucl. Phys. **B592**, 183 (2001); S. Capitani, Phys. Rept. **382**, 113 (2003).